

€1.5 million – Why we are confident in our position

A few days ago, the challenge issued by Prof. Ulrike Kämmerer was accepted, with the claim that proof of a "pathogenic virus" could be provided and the €1.5 million prize thus claimed.

No evidence was submitted

<https://t.me/NextLevelOriginal/33>

--

The publication by Chinese virologists refutes the claim made by the claimants

The team of claimants led by "Franky" and Prof. Kämmerer—who wish to accept the prize challenge—assert in one of their responses that the genome assembly process (in which a long, theoretical gene sequence is constructed from numerous very short gene sequences derived from a mixture of genetic material) is 100 percent accurate.

This assertion is one of the central points of criticism regarding the 1.5-million-euro prize challenge, and we at NEXT LEVEL have refuted it in simple steps.

<https://t.me/NextLevelOriginal/38>

—

Isolat Truth Fund – Current Status

On October 17, 2022, Prof. Ulrike Kämmerer accepted the challenge to provide scientific proof of the existence of a "disease-causing virus."

Result: Neither Prof. Kämmerer nor her supporter, the molecular biologist "Franky," was able to provide scientific proof of existence.

While Franky stated in his Telegram group that he no longer had time for the matter, Prof. Kämmerer fell completely silent and failed to provide a publication even when asked. She also rejected every attempt to discuss the issue.

 We remain ready at any time to engage in a factual discussion with Prof. Kämmerer.

 We will publish the specific questions intended for the court-appointed panel of experts in the next issue of *NEXT LEVEL MAGAZINE*.

Conclusion: Neither during the measles virus trial nor now has any virologist been able to provide proof of the existence of "disease-causing viruses."

 The Measles Virus Trial

<https://t.me/NextLevelOriginal/152>

--

The Corona Committee has suggested that Prof. Ulrike Kämmerer publish her (alleged) proof of the existence of the virus.

Attorney Wilfried Schmitz, who represents Prof. Kämmerer in the matter of the €1.5 million prize offer, was a guest at the Corona Committee meeting on May 12, 2023. During the meeting, he claimed that Prof. Kämmerer had already provided the proof long ago (Dr. Wodarg nodded ).

The fact is:

This proof has never been presented to Samuel, Dr. Stefan Lanka, NEXT LEVEL, or anyone else. As proof of existence, a single A4 sheet of paper with a heading was held up to the camera. A COVER SHEET as proof... 

Attorney Viviane Fischer is also unfamiliar with the alleged proof.

Viviane Fischer's proposal: An open discussion between Prof. Kämmerer and critics regarding the question of viral existence.

The intention is commendable; however, we are the ones who have been trying to establish contact with those responsible for over a year—attempts that were consistently rejected by Prof. Kämmerer. We are generally open to a conversation. However, to rebuild trust, Ms. Kämmerer would first need to disclose her proof.

Viviane Fischer's suggestion: The publication of Prof. Kämmerer's alleged proof of viral existence.

We naturally support this. After all, we sent Ms. Kämmerer all the laboratory control results and published them without making a single demand.

<https://t.me/NextLevelOriginal/209>

--

We have questions...

During the 155th session of the Corona Committee, attorney Wilfried Schmitz held a cover page up to the camera. It reportedly belongs to a publication by Prof. Ulrike Kämmerer, in which she allegedly provides proof of the "coronavirus"—an entity that has been claimed to exist but has never, to this day, been scientifically proven.

However, we have seen nothing more than that cover page so far. WHY NOT?

✘ Viviane Fischer subsequently proposed a discussion between Prof. Kämmerer and critics who question the existence of the virus—a move we welcomed. Yet, nothing has come of it to date.

✘ Prof. Kämmerer could easily put an end to the debate regarding the virus's existence right now. If she were to present her publication—and if it indeed contained the proof—it would be a scientific sensation.

Isn't that what drives a true scientist?

Science that creates knowledge ?

<https://t.me/NextLevelOriginal/281>

--

The Truth: Just Hot Air Again !

Foreword:

The burden of proof lies with the person making a claim. It is not someone else's

job to refute that claim. Things get bizarre when a group of people repeatedly makes claims (in this case: that proof of a virus's existence has supposedly been provided), yet fails to produce this "proof" for examination upon (repeated) request—and not only avoids discussions on the matter but outright refuses them !

One group: One claim: NO PROOF

Two additional lawyers have now joined the "Team Franky / Kämmerer / Pankalla": Attorney Wilfried Schmitz and Attorney Tobias Ulbrich.

 We have already released a short video regarding Attorney Schmitz and his "cover-page appearance" in the *Corona-Ausschuss* (Corona Committee). To date, neither we nor anyone else questioning the existence of viruses has gained any knowledge of the actual content of this alleged publication by Prof. Kämmerer.

 A few days ago, Attorney Ulbrich also claimed on Twitter that he was in possession of proof.

Quote from Attorney Ulbrich: "Viruses exist, and I have the proof—satisfying all of Robert Koch's postulates—in my possession."

We subsequently replied to him via Twitter, asking him to send over the alleged proof. But as you can surely guess: So far, there has been NO RESPONSE from Attorney Ulbrich.

 Let us recap:

 A virologist and a molecular biologist practically shouted their claim to the world, stating they would provide THE proof of an allegedly "pathogenic virus." Yet, to this day, the world has seen nothing of the sort. 🙅 To date, no evidence has been published or submitted to Samuel Eckert !

 Several lawyers have claimed they could present this evidence or that they were in possession of such proof.

🙅 Yet, to this day, none of these lawyers have actually presented anything !

What does this tell us about the parties involved and the alleged evidence ?

● Our conclusion:

!! By claiming that they have **now** provided evidence, or that they are **yet** to provide it, they have indirectly confirmed that this has **never** happened before, and that to this day there is **no** evidence of a "disease-causing virus" **!!**

The virologist and the molecular biologist have thus effectively discredited themselves. If they wish to restore their reputations and revive the virus **theory**—which they themselves have refuted through their statements and actions—they **must** publish what they claim to possess. Otherwise, they—and indeed all virologists worldwide—might as well throw their fiction-based "know-how" and their "professional titles" straight into the trash right now and write new job titles on their office doors.

To the lawyers:

Please contact us directly so we can review the alleged evidence you hold together. We would be happy to determine with you whether you actually have a scientific sensation on your hands (for genuine scientific proof in the form of a "virus" isolate and the required controls would indeed be a massive sensation) or merely "hot air" captured in words and on paper. Concluding remark:

When influential individuals and groups define themselves as critics of the coronavirus narrative—yet not only suppress substantive criticism but also perpetuate the narrative with claims like "we have the proof" while refusing to produce that "proof" for scientific scrutiny upon request—then even the staunchest "virus proponents" ought to sit up and take notice after more than three years of pseudoscience, acknowledge the facts, and call them by their true names.

What do you think?

Wouldn't a scientist who had produced genuine proof of something—something claimed worldwide but never before proven—unfailingly and immediately publish that "proof" (a sensation!) to show the entire world, "Here it is: THE proof," and thereby once and for all strip all critics of any grounds for doubt? **?**

<https://t.me/NextLevelOriginal/284>

It's Final: Prof. Ulrike Kämmerer Gives Up !

As early as October 22, 2020, during the 22nd session of the Corona Committee, Prof. Kämmerer drew attention to herself with erroneous statements regarding a Chinese study—statements that were contradicted even by the publication's own author. This raised doubts about her "ability" to present independent evidence, as she appears unfamiliar with the fundamental scientific methodologies required to demonstrate the existence of "pathogenic viruses."

The Isolate Truth Fund:

Although Kämmerer claimed she would only answer questions posed by Samuel Eckert, she remained silent even after medical journalist Torsten Eckert met this condition and Samuel Eckert became directly involved in the email exchange.

This stance contradicts the fundamental principles of scientific work: openness, transparency, and critical discourse.

The email correspondence in question—involving all relevant parties—reinforces the long-held suspicion that both Attorney Viviane Fischer and Prof. Ulrike Kämmerer were merely engaging in diversionary tactics.

<https://t.me/NextLevelOriginal/417>

—